	Annlinetian Ma	A
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/738,319	Applicant(s)  BAILEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JEFF NATALINI	2831
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Jeff Natalini</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joel Justiss</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 November 2008	Time: morning	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 4-27  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Jeff Natalini/ Examiner, Art Unit 2831	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
· ·		/

Application No. 10/738,319

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to applicant to see if claims 8-26 drawn to a non elected claim can be cancelled because claim 1 was found allowable. Applicant asked if all the limitations of claim 1 were placed into independent claims 8, 15, and 22, could the claims be rejoined. As this is acceptable, the applicant stated that would fax the amended claims as soon as possible, so they could be entered as an examiners amendment and the application placed in condition for allowance.